IDP Hosting Site Baseline Assessment Comparative Overview

YEMEN: Al Bayda, Al Jawf **SEPTEMBER - OCTOBER 2017**







Cover image: Harat Al-Masna'a, Giles Clarke for UNOCHA, 2017 https://ocha.smugmug.com/Countries/Yemen/YEMEN-MEDIA-SELECTS/i-fg23wmQ/A

About REACH Initiative

REACH facilitates the development of information tools and products that enhance the capacity of aid actors to make evidence-based decisions in emergency, recovery and development contexts. All REACH activities are conducted through inter-agency aid coordination mechanisms.

For more information, you can write to our in-country office: yemen@reach-initiative.org. You can view all our reports, maps and factsheets on our resource centre: reachresourcecentre.info, visit our website at reach-initiative.org, and follow us @REACH_info.



Contents

Introduction and Methodology	4
Definitions	5
Summary	7
Map 1: Assessed IDP hosting sites, per district	8
Map 2: Population of assessed IDP hosting sites, per district	9
Map 3: IDP hosting sites under management in AI Bayda governorate, per district	10
Map 4: IDP hosting sites under management in AI Jawf governorate, per district	11
Comparative analysis of needs severity, per site	12
Map 5: Severity of needs in IDP hosting sites in AI Bayda governorate, per district	13
Al Bayda Governorate	14
Map 6: Severity of needs in IDP hosting sites in AI Jawf governorate, per district	15
Al Jawf Governorate	16
Annex 1 - Scoring matrix for comparative analysis of needs	19
Annex 2 - Classifications used for comparative analysis	21
Annex 3 - Questionnaire	22



Introduction

The IDP Hosting Site Baseline Assessment aims to support targeting and response planning by humanitarian stakeholders, including authorities, UN agencies, local and international non-governmental organisations (NGOs). It provides a baseline of key multi-sectoral indicators across IDP hosting sites in 22 governorates, based on data collected through interviews with key informants in each site. The baseline assessment will help to inform the site management support which will be offered to the local authorities and humanitarian actors in charge of IDP hosting sites, as well as the support which will be offered to IDPs to establish self-governance, community participation and communication processes within IDP hosting sites.

The IDP Hosting Site Baseline Assessment is organised and supported by the Shelter / NFI / CCCM Cluster coordination team and cluster partners engaged in the activity. The data collection for the baseline assessment has been carried out by the International Organisation for Migration (IOM) in 18 governorates and the office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) in 4 governorates.

Once the baseline of current sites is finalised, its updates will be carried out every six months to cover newly established sites. Monitoring of the sites identified through the baseline and its updates will be carried out continuously. The information generated over time through monitoring data collection will provide an indication of structural and sectoral changes in the conditions of the sites.

REACH supports the Shelter / NFI / CCCM Cluster in data analysis and output production. A core set of products created as a result of the data collection exercises includes:

1. Summary report - Overview of key indicators and comparative analysis of sites to identify those in most urgent need;

- 2. Site Profiles Produced for each site with relevant sectoral information;
- 3. Site Maps Maps showing site location and characteristics.

This report presents findings from two governorates - Al Bayda and Al Jawf - based on data collected between September and October 2017 by IOM during the third stage of the baseline assessment.

Methodology

The assessment has been conducted across 22 governorates, in IDP hosting sites of five or more IDP households, where assistance and protection services can be delivered collectively. To identify sites, TFPM Area Assessments¹ and a Cluster site list have been used. Sites on the list have been visited by enumerators and included in the assessment if they hosted five or more IDP households. The assessment covers both rural and urban settings and aims to assess every IDP hosting site in Yemen. However, new sites are being identified, while some settlements do not exist anymore. Therefore, findings refer to identified sites, with new sites being added into or removed from later data collection rounds.

Data has been collected through interviews with one to five key informants in each site. Key informants included site managers, members of site committee, sheikhs, mosque imams, community leaders or in the absence of any formal leaders – randomly chosen IDP households, who answered on behalf of all households in the site. Consequently, findings reflect the informed perspectives of these key informants and should be considered only indicative. However, the estimates provided by the informants offer a reliable indication of the situation within the settlements.

¹ The Task Force for Population Movement (TFPM), co-led by IOM and UNHCR is a Technical Working Group of the Yemen Protection Cluster. The TFPM implements an information management tool that gathers data on the status and location of displaced persons across Yemen. http://www.globaldtm.info/category/middle-east-and-north-africa/yemen/



Definitions

For the purpose of data collection, the Yemen Shelter / NFI / CCCM Cluster identifies each concentration of displaced population according to the following categories:

- Location a populated place in the smallest geographical division: a neighbourhood in urban area and a village in rural area.
- Site a location inhabited by five or more households regardless of its accommodation and shelter type. In cases of multiple housing units hosting five or more households each, having the same shelter type and sharing one basic service unit, a single data collection form shall be applied. Basic service units include latrines, water points or cooking facilities.
- Individual settlement a population concentration hosting less than five households within one location (see above). Individual settlements are not included in this exercise.

Displacement sites are divided into two categories:

- Collective Centre a displacement site in a pre-existing physical structure, either public/communal (e.g. public buildings, schools) or private buildings (e.g. factories, private houses) inhabited by five or more IDP households;
- **Spontaneous Settlement** groups of tented or other types of housing units inhabited by five or more IDP households, established by the IDPs themselves or by non-experienced actors on land they have no legal claim to. The IDPs intend to stay in this settlement for an extended period of time.

The following type and status of shelter structures present in displacement sites has been agreed:

- **Makeshift shelter** typically built from waste and temporary materials (tarpaulins, cardboard, blankets, metal sheeting, tarps, etc.);
- Emergency shelter a portable shelter with a cover and a structure;
- **Finished building** a building which is completed (with walls and roof) and composite of all building components (windows, doors, plumbing, etc.);
- **Unfinished building** a building which has more than its frame, but is still missing elements (i.e. doors, windows, lighting, plumbing, walls, etc.);
- Skeleton a building which has a solid frame (concrete, steel, etc.) but no other elements;
- **Open air** no structure present.



Other definitions:


- **Unhygienic facilities** Facilities that are not sanitary and do not respect minimum standards of cleanliness or that may cause disease.
- **Functioning facilities** Facilities that continue to fulfil the purpose for which they were constructed or provided.
- Private (site, services or facilities) Site belonging to, controlled by or for the use of one particular person, group of people or commercial company only and not owned by or connected with the goverment. Services or facilities provided by a particular person, group of people or commercial company.
- **Public (site, services or facilities)** Site belonging to, controlled by or for the use of the entire population rather than a particular individual/group. Services or facilities provided by the government.



Summary

The findings presented below are indicative of all assessed sites in two governorates (Al Bayda, Al Jawf). Percentage figures are calculated on the basis of all sites assessed across the two governorates, unless stated otherwise.

Key findings



Shelter typology

Finished building

Makeshift shelter

Open air

Mixed shelter type 71%

Emergency shelter 2%

Unfinished building 0%

23%

3%

0%

Rent agreement

Rent payment


Owner not known (no agreement, no rent) Free of charge	81% 14%	_
Up to 6,500 YER	3%	
6,501 - 12,500 YER	2%	I
Below 6.500 YER	0%	

Al Bayda


Al Jawf

Overview

# assessed IDP hosting sites:	94
- Collective centers	44
- Spontaneous settlements	50
# individuals in assessed sites	11,642
# households in assessed sites	1,928



Most common intentions





Concerns present in the sites, per governorate


total number of sites	19	75				
# collective centres	16	28				
# spontaneous settlement	3	47				
% of sites with no management	53%	100%				
% of sites with IDPs in open air or makeshift/emergency shelter	26%	80%				
% of sites reporting water shortages	84%	81%				
% of sites with no latrines	68%	75%				
% of sites with no showers	37%	81%				
% of sites with poor wiring or no electricity	95%	96%				
% of sites with not separated family spaces	11%	17%	Scale:			
% of sites with mine risk reported	53%	11%	Less than 25 %			
% of sites with no primary healthcare provider	95%	99%	25 - 50 %			
% of sites where majority of IDPs do not eat 3 meals a day	37%	29%	Above 50%			




Map 1: Assessed IDP hosting sites, per district







Map 4: IDP hosting sites under management in AI Jawf governorate, per district



Comparative analysis of needs severity, per site

Al Bayda, Al Jawf


The comparative analysis of severity of needs in IDP Hosting Sites aims to support humanitarian actors in prioritisation of sites from the most critical and in need to the least.

Severity of needs should be compared only between sites for a given sector and not between sectors. The method of scoring is presented in the scoring Matrix (Annex 1).

Overview of needs severity is presented on maps organised by geographic area, followed by the tables with comparative analysis of needs severity per site organised by governorate. For each governorates sites are sorted by district, and within district by final priority score.

The detail information about population, facilities and needs in each site can be found in *IDP Hosting Site Baseline Assessment - Site Profiles* factsheet, published as separate document.


Legend:



Note: Full dataset with indicators used for this analysis is available on request from CCCM/ Shelter Cluster.

Contact: coord.yemen@sheltercluster.org or depcoord.yemen@sheltercluster.org






Al Bayda Governorate

Al Dayu					ent								
Site ID	District	Site Name	Site type	Population	Camp Management	Shelter & NFI	Water Access	Sanitation	Health	Food Security	Education	Protection	SITE PRIORITY
YE1416-685	Al A'rsh	Al-Musslla Village	CC	41									•
YE1410-688	Al Bayda	Jahri	CC	217			•	•					•
YE1409-723	Al Bayda City	Center F	CC	174			•	•					•
YE1414-691	Al Quraishyah	Al-Zoub	CC	56									•
YE1418-695	Ar Ryashyyah	Al-Ghaol	CC	24									•
YE1411-690	As Sawadiyah	Al-Jamae Al-Cabeer	CC	235			•	•		•		•	•
YE1404-686	As Sawma'ah	Al Al-Qeissi	CC	23									•
YE1404-687	As Sawma'ah	Al-Oqllah	CC	19			•						•
YE1404-699	As Sawma'ah	Vocational Institute	CC	28			•						•
YE1408-697	Mukayras	Al Mansour	CC	82				•					
YE1408-694	Mukayras	Areeb	CC	134				•		•		•	•
YE1408-692	Mukayras	Dharwah	CC	70				•					•
YE1413-698	Rada'	Al-Salam Neighbourhood	CC	89			•	•		•		•	•
YE1413-700	Rada'	Mahwa Al-Mahamsheen	CC	130				•		•		•	•
YE1413-702	Rada'	No name	CC	134		•					•	•	•
YE1417-701	Sabah	No name	CC	28					•			•	•
YE1415-689	Wald Rabi'	Al-Wehdah School	CC	80									
YE1415-693	Wald Rabi'	Health Facility	CC	25			•	•					
YE1415-696	Wald Rabi'	Yaklla	CC	293	•	•		•			•		





Map 6: Severity of needs in IDP hosting sites in AI Jawf governorate, per district

AI Jawf Governorate

Aijumi					Ħ								
Site ID	District	Site Name	Site type	Population	Camp Management	Shelter & NFI	Water Access	Sanitation	Health	Food Security	Education	Protection	SITE PRIORITY
YE1608-711	Al Ghayl	Al-Bahet	СС	349									•
YE1608-708	Al Ghayl	Albraah	CC	140	•		•	•				•	•
YE1605-712	Al Hazm	Al-Hazm City	СС	122						•		•	•
YE1605-714	Al Hazm	Al-Hazm City	СС	195					•	•			•
YE1605-709	Al Hazm	Al-Jarr	СС	436				•	•			•	•
YE1605-710	Al Hazm	Al-Saed	СС	131			•	•	•			•	•
YE1605-713	Al Hazm	Wadi Shahen	CC	338			•	•				•	•
YE1602-777	Al Humaydat	Al-Salal	CC	46									•
YE1609-746	Al Khalq	Al-Rawedh	CC	163								•	•
YE1609-743	Al Khalq	Al-Khalq	CC	96			•	•	•				•
YE1609-744	Al Khalq	Al-Khalq	СС	137		•		•		•		•	•
YE1609-745	Al Khalq	Al-Khalq	СС	141	•	•		•	•	•			•
YE1607-747	Al Maslub	Al-Mahraq	СС	73	•	•	•		•	•		•	•
YE1607-748	Al Maslub	Al-Masajed	CC	204			•	•	•	•		•	•
YE1607-750	Al Maslub	Al-Zaghnah	CC	355			•		•	•	•	•	•
YE1607-751	Al Maslub	Malaha	CC	286			•		•	•	•	•	•
YE1607-749	Al Maslub	Roufah	CC	100	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•
YE1603-763	Al Matammah	Al Dhaen	CC	53				•	•			•	•
YE1603-765	Al Matammah	Al Mareem	CC	53	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
YE1603-764	Al Matammah	Al Radman	CC	54	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
YE1603-766	Al Matammah	Al-Mensaf	СС	70	•	•		•	•		•	•	•
YE1603-767	Al Matammah	Bin Abdullah	CC	46	•	•		•	•		•	•	•
YE1603-776	Al Matammah	Wadi Sareerah	СС	78	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•
YE1606-724	Al Maton	Al Carshan Fort	СС	179	•	•		•	•			•	•
YE1606-725	Al Maton	Al Carshan Fort	СС	55	•	•		•	•		•	•	•
YE1606-733	Al Maton	Al-Mahraq	СС	207	•	•		•	•		•		•
YE1606-726	Al Maton	Al khrashf	CC	122	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•

AI Jawf Governorate

				nt								
Site ID District	Site Name	Site type	Population	Camp Management	Shelter & NFI	Water Access	Sanitation	Health	Food Security	Education	Protection	SITE PRIORITY
YE1606-727 Al Maton	Al Maqam	CC	68									•
YE1606-728 Al Maton	Al mohsen	CC	99					•				•
YE1606-729 Al Maton	Al-Adlayn	СС	114									•
YE1606-730 Al Maton	Al-Baten	CC	132									•
YE1606-731 Al Maton	Al-Haijah	CC	49									•
YE1606-732 Al Maton	Al-Mahazeem	CC	61									•
YE1606-734 Al Maton	Al-Mehzam Al-A'ala	CC	122					•				•
YE1606-735 Al Maton	Al-Mehzam Al-A'ala	CC	66									•
YE1606-736 Al Maton	Al-Qasabah	CC	105					•			•	•
YE1606-737 Al Maton	Al-Qawasemah	CC	54					•				•
YE1606-738 Al Maton	Al-Saleel Al Ahmed	CC	276					•				•
YE1606-739 Al Maton	Al-Sarhat Al-Olya	CC	185					•			•	•
YE1606-740 Al Maton	Bin Shehab	CC	89					•			•	•
YE1606-741 Al Maton	Farsha	CC	131					•			•	•
YE1606-742 Al Maton	Farsha	CC	102					•			•	•
YE1604-756 Az Zahir	Al-Abasyah	CC	66					•				•
YE1604-753 Az Zahir	Al-Bade'a	CC	81					•				•
YE1604-754 Az Zahir	Al-Mabna	CC	67					•				•
YE1604-758 Az Zahir	Al-Marfadh Al-Aala	CC	95									•
YE1604-752 Az Zahir	Adaam Market	CC	74				•	•			•	•
YE1604-755 Az Zahir	Al-Hadhenah	CC	70								•	•
YE1604-757 Az Zahir	Al-Oqdah	CC	62				•	•			•	•
YE1610-715 Bart Al Anai	n Al-Darb Al-Aswad	CC	48				•	•			•	•
YE1610-718 Bart Al Anai	n Dhabeebah	CC	84				•	•			•	•
YE1610-719 Bart Al Anai	n Jozor	CC	166			•	•					•
YE1610-720 Bart Al Anai	n Tho Ali	CC	87	•				•			•	•
YE1610-721 Bart Al Anai	n Zafq Al-Aaydh	CC	45	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•

AI Jawf Governorate

Aljawi C					÷								
Site ID	District	Site Name	Site type	Population	Camp Management	Shelter & NFI	Water Access	Sanitation	Health	Food Security	Education	Protection	SITE PRIORITY
YE1610-716	Bart Al Anan	Al-Rashed	СС	75								•	•
YE1610-717	Bart Al Anan	Al-Wadeyayen	CC	117			•	•	•			•	•
YE1610-722	Bart Al Anan	Al-Wadeyayen	СС	73			•	•				•	•
YE1601-770	Khabb wa ash Sha'af	Al-Jeblah	CC	157								•	•
YE1601-778	Khabb wa ash Sha'af	Al-Malahah	CC	247								•	•
YE1601-774	Khabb wa ash Sha'af	Al-Meshraq	CC	77								•	•
YE1601-775	Khabb wa ash Sha'af	Wadi Khab	СС	171									•
YE1601-772	Khabb wa ash Sha'af	Al-Dahl	СС	53					•	•		•	•
YE1601-773	Khabb wa ash Sha'af	Al-Feradh	СС	206	•		•	•	•	•		•	•
YE1601-771	Khabb wa ash Sha'af	Al-Jeblah	СС	272				•	•	•		•	•
YE1601-768	Khabb wa ash Sha'af	Almrhana	СС	340				•	•	•		•	•
YE1601-769	Khabb wa ash Sha'af	Wadi Maqar	СС	281				•	•			•	•
YE1612-760	Kharab Al Marashi	Al-Maqam	СС	46	•			•		•		•	•
YE1612-759	Kharab Al Marashi	Al-Melhat	СС	109	•		•	•		•		•	•
YE1612-762	Kharab Al Marashi	Al-Neil	СС	64	•					•		•	•
YE1612-761	Kharab Al Marashi	Al-Waza	СС	72	•			•		•		•	•
YE1611-704	Rajuzah	Al-Salawi	СС	84	•	•		•				•	•
YE1611-703	Rajuzah	Al-Souq	СС	118	•			•				•	•
YE1611-705	Rajuzah	Rajuzah	СС	110	•		•	•				•	
YE1611-706	Rajuzah	Rajuzah	СС	141	•	•						•	•
YE1611-707	Rajuzah	Rajuzah	СС	120	•	•		•	•				•



Annex 1 - Scoring matrix for comparative analysis of needs

Sector	Indicator	Value	Weight	Calculation	Final Grade	
ant	Presence of site management	Yes No	02			
Site Managment	Site management committee established	Yes No	0	Total score	>=0.85 -> 4 (black) >=0.50 -> 3 (red) >=0.25 -> 2 (yellow)	
Site Ma	Method of gathering population figures	By Counting By Estimation Not collected	0 0.5 1	/ Max score	>=0.25 -> 2 (yellow) >=0 -> 1 (green)	
	IDPs living in open air	No Yes	0 3			
	IDPs living in emergency or makeshift shelter	No Yes	0 2			
Shelter & NFI	Issues present in finished or/and unfinished buildings (See Annex 2)	No Yes	0 2	Total score	>=0.60 -> 4 (black) >=0.30 -> 3 (red)	
Shelte	Risk of eviction reported	No Yes	0 1	/ Max score	>=0.15 -> 2 (yellow) >=0 -> 1 (green)	
	Number of NFI items reported as needed	None 1 to 4 item types 4 or more item types	0 1 2			
	Electricity access issues (no access or poor wiring)	No Yes	0 1			
	Primary healthcare provision	Goverment, NGO/UN, Private charity/group Voluntary (organised by IDPs or hosts) IDPs are paying No provider	0 1 1 2			
Health	Primary healthcare provision for pregnant/lactating women	Goverment, NGO/UN, Private charity/group Voluntary (organised by IDPs or hosts) IDPs are paying No provider	0 1 1 2	Total score / Max score	>=0.65 -> 4 (black) >=0.50 -> 3 (red) >=0.30 -> 2 (yellow) >=0 -> 1 (green)	
	Doctor/nurse visiting site	Yes No	0			
	Outbreak of diarrhoea reported	No Yes	0	1		
ecurity	Majority of site residents eat 3 meals a day	Yes No	0 2		>=0.80 -> 4 (black)	
Food Sec	Coping Strategy Index (See Annex 2 for CSI calcuation)	None Low score Medium score High score	0 1 2 3	Total score / Max score	>=0.60 -> 3 (red) >=0.40 -> 2 (yellow) >=0 -> 1 (green)	
Water Access	Access to water in the site (See Annex 2 for full description)	Little to no problem Intermittent access problem Affordability problem Status problem Access problem	0 1 2 2 3	N/A	>=0.75 -> 4 (black) >=0.50 -> 3 (red)	
Water	Main water source used for drinking and household purposes (see Annex 2 for water source classification)	Improved water source Unimproved water source	0 1		>=0.25 -> 2 (yellow) >=0 -> 1 (green)	
val	Access to bathing facilities	Everyone has access More than half of the population has access Half or less of the population has access	0 1 2			
Sanitation Waste Removal	Access to functioning latrines	Everyone has access More than half of the population has access Half or less of the population has access	0 1 2	Total score / Max score	>=0.70 -> 4 (black) >=0.30 -> 3 (red) >=0.15 -> 2 (yellow) >=0 -> 1 (green)	
Sa & Was	Presence of garbage	Present in few areas or not at all Present in some or most areas	in few areas or not at all 0			
	Presence of sewage/wastewater	Present once or twice, or not at all Present most of all the time	0 1			



Sector	Indicator	Value	Weight	Calculation	Final Grade
ion	Access to primary school	At the site By 60 min on foot (there and back) Not at all	0 0.5 1	T .(.)	= 1 -> 4 (black)
Education	Boys under 15 y getting primary education	All / Nearly all / Majority Few / Nearly none / None / Unknown	0 1	Total score / Max score	>=0.60 -> 3 (red) >=0.30 -> 2 (yellow) >=0 -> 1 (green)
	Girls under 15 y getting primary education	All / Nearly all / Majority Few / Nearly none / None / Unknown	0 1		groon)
	Ratio of vulnerable groups in population	>=0% >=5% >=10% >=20% >=30%	0 0.5 1 2 3		
	Family room separation	Distinctly Separated Temporary/Curtain No Separation	0 1 2	-	
	Security of tenure	Written Agreement Oral with or without Witnesses No Lease Agreement	0 0.5 1		
Protection	Ratio of available women's showers	>=50% >=25% >=0%	0 0.5 1	Total score / Max score	>=0.60 -> 4 (black) >=0.40 -> 3 (red) >=0.20 -> 2 (yellow)
Prot	Ratio of available women's toilets	>=50% >=25% >=0%	0 0.5 1		>=0 -> 1 (green)
	Child labour	No Before Displacement After Displacement Before and After Displacement	0 0.5 0.5 1		
	Begging	No Before Displacement After Displacement Before and After Displacement	0 0.5 0.5 1		
	Mine / UXO hazard reported	No Yes	0 2		

Site priority score

Calculation	Final Grade	Site Priority	Symbol
	≥0.75	Critical	
Sum of grade from each of sector (1 - 4)	≥0.60 and < 0.75	High	•
divided by maxiumum total grade (32)	≥0.45 - 0.60	Medium	•
	<0.45	Low	

Annex 2 - Classifications used for comparative analysis

Water Source Classification

Improved Water Sources	Unimproved Water Sources
Piped water into dwelling / compound	Illegal connection to piped network
Piped water connected to a public tap	Unprotected dug well / spring
Collection directly from borehole	Unprotected rain water harvesting tank
Protected dug well / spring	Water trucking
Protected rain water harvesting tank	Surface water
Bottled water	

Coping Strategy Index

Coping stategies	Weight
Rely on less expensive or less preferred foods	1
Borrow food or rely on help from friends or relatives	2
Purchase food on credit	2
Send household members to beg	4
Reduce number of meals eaten in a day	2
Skip entire days without eating	4
Sell or exchange personal goods for food	0
Going to look for work outside	0
Nothing	0
KI doesn't know	0

CSI scoring adapted for Comparative Analysis of Needs

CSI	CSI classification for Analysis	Score for Comparative Analysis
7 to 10	High Score	3
3 to 6	Medium Score	2
1 to 2	Low Score	1
0	None	0

Issues present in finished and unfinished buildings

Broken windows Unhygienic washing/bathing facility Lack of lighting Not secure Not enough space Leaking roof Lack of heating Lack of privacy Presence of vectors (rats/cockroaches) Damp



Annex 3 - Questionnaire

	Sale	م م	YEMEN
SHELTER CLUSTER تتسيق المأوى الإنساني	180	ß	CCCM CLUSTER دعم مجتمعات الناز حين

CCCM IDP Hosting Site Assessment Baseline Questionnaire Version 21 May 2017

0.	Core information and Population figu	ures																	
0.1	Round (#)								0.2 Date	e of Survey									
0.3	Name of Enumerator								0.4 Enui	merator Gender (M / F)		0	м	0	F			
0.5	(Enumerator to answer) In which Governorate is this CC or SS located ?																		
0.6	(Enumerator to answer) In which District is this CC or SS located ?																		
0.7	What Location is this CC or SS in?																		
	(Select from list)	Neares	t Location from th	he list															
0.8	If the location is not in the list, specify new location	New Lo	cation in English								New locat	ion in Ara	bic						
0.9	Name of Site		0.10 When was the site first occupied? (MM-yyyy)																
0.11	Total Number of Households in Site as a whole																		
0.12	Methodology used to get the population figure (Please select one answer)	0	Counting (registration, he	ad count	. habit	ation count. I	beneficia	ries list. etc	.)		0	Estimati (Key info		rive through/	walk throu				
			(0 , .	Less tha			1-4 Yea		,	5-17 Years		18-60 Ye			Above 60			individual	
0.13	(Enumerator to answer) Please give the number in each age and gender group	Male																	
	for all members of this site	Female					1												
			n heading a hous ears old)	ehold				Females he	eading a ł	ousehold(18-59)		Elderly h years ol	-	household (o	ver 60				
0.14	How many of the following individuals do you have in this site?		mpanied or sepa	rated chi	ldren			Pregnant o	r lactatin	g women/girls		1	with chro	nic illness					
0.14	(Provide number)	Porcon	s with mental hea	alth dicab	ilition			Persons wi	th physic	al disabilities or									
		reison.	s with mental nea		inties			conflict-rel	ated Inju	ries									
1.	Site Profile							\bigcirc					\cap		. //				
1.1	Who manages this CC or SS ?	\bigcirc	Private/Volunta	ry				0	Other	tarian/NGO/UN			\bigcirc	Governmer	it/Local AL	ithorities			
1 7	Name of Site Management (Authority	0	Religious					0	Other				0	None					
1.2 1.3	Name of Site Management/Authority Site Management Committee structure established	\cap	Yes		\cap	No													
1.4	If Yes, provide Site Manager's name and phone number	Name			0	(Go to Q1.5)							Phone N	umber					
1.4							%												
		Open Air																	
	How many IDPs household in this site stay in the	Emergency shelter Makeshift shelter (tarpaulins,					%												
1.5	following shelter conditions? (Provide % based on information from KI and check if	cardboard, Skeleton/unfinished building			%														
	sum up to 100%)	(no walls, roof)		luing	%			If > 0% go to	Q1.6										
		Finished buildings					%	If > 0% go to	Q1.7										
		Total	1 .		100	1	%					-	•		-				
1.6	(Enumerator to answer) For skeleton/unfinished building, indicate type of issues present		Broken windows			Unhygienic	washing	facilities		Lack of lighting		Not secure				Not enough space		Damp	
1.0	(Tick all that apply)		Leaking roof			Lack of heat	ing			Lack of privacy				of vectors kroaches)		Other (Please	specify)		
	(Enumerator to answer) If finished building, indicate		Broken windows			Unhygienic	washing	facilities		Lack of lighting			Not secu	re		Not enough space		Damp	
1.7	type of issues present (Tick all that apply)		Leaking roof			Lack of heat	ing			Lack of privacy				of vectors kroaches)		Other (Please :	specify)		
	Are any friction between IDPs and host community?	0	Yes		0	No (If no, g a	o to Q1.9)		•				,		•			
1.8	If Yes, what are the reasons of friction (Tick all that apply)		Acces to shelter		Access	s to water			Access t	o food		Discrimi	nation			Other (Please	specify)		
	Are any friction among IDPs?	\bigcirc	Yes		\bigcirc	No (If no, g a	o to Q1.1	o)											
1.9	If Yes, what are the reasons of friction (Tick all that apply)	Π	Acces to shelter		Access	s to water			Access t	o food		Discrimi	nation			Other (Please	specify)		
1.10	What is the most common intention for the next three months ?	0	Stay in site		0	Return to pl	ace of or	igin	0	Move to anothe of the current G		0	Move to Governo		0	Other (Please	specify)		
1.11	(Please select one answer) Specify the district of origin for the MAJORITY of the																		
	IDPs in site.																		
1.12	What is preventing the largest IDP group from returning to their place of origin?	0	Limited access t water, etc.) in th							ne area of origin (re such as roads /		\bigcirc	No Food in area of origin		0	No livelihood o	pportunit	y in area of origin	
	(Please select one answer)	\bigcirc	No stability in th	ne area of	forigin	(insecurity,		House dam	aged / de	estroyed / occupi	ied by			u for transpo	rt to oron	of origin			
•	Discrimination of Materia	0	ongoing conflict	:)			\bigcirc	ohters				0	NO MORE	y for transpo	ort to area of origin				
2.	Physical conditions (Enumerator to answer) How are most family spaces																		
2.1	separated ? (i.e. Seperated areas for men and women within the family unit) (Please select one answer)	0	Distinctly separa	ate room:	5			0	Curtain	/ other temporar	y divider			0	Family spaces are not separated				
2.2	Overall, which of the following statements describes the war, etc.	risk of n	nines, unexplode	d ordinan	ce, exp	plosive remna	ants of	0	There is	no risk of mines,	UXOs, ERW	's, etc.		0	There is a	a risk of mines,	JXOs, ERV	Vs, etc.	
2.3	(Enumerator to answer) If access to electricity exists,	0	Low and uncove	ered elect	rical p	oints		0	Electrica	al points near wat	ter sources			0	No conce	ern			
	what electrical concerns exist at this site ?	\bigcirc	Poor wiring, han	nging out	wiring			0	No elec	tricity in this site				0	Other (Pl	Other (Please specify)			



3	Land Tenure																					
	Who is the owner of the site?	\bigcirc	Private					\bigcirc	Public				\bigcirc	Organizat	on / instituti	on			Owner to 3.5	r not known (go		
3.1	(Please select one answer) If owner is known, indicate name of organisation or person							0					0						10 3.5			
3.2	(If owner is known) Does the owner possess proof of ownership (e.g. title document, purchase agreement, etc.)	0	Yes					0	No				0	Do not kn	ow			0				
3.3	(If owner is known) Is there an agreement between the IDP residents and the owner?	0	Yes, written agro	eement				0	Yes, oral witnesse		nent with	nout local	\bigcirc	Yes, oral a	greement wi	th local w	itnesses	\bigcirc	None			
3.4	(If owner is known) What rent arrangements have been made with the owner?	0	Rent Payment					0	No rent p	paymen	ıt		0	Other (please specify)								
	If rent is paid, what is the average cost of rent per family each month?	\bigcirc	up to 6.500 YR		1			0	between	6.501 t	to YR 12.	500 YR					0	More	More than 12.500 YR			
3.5	Is there any risk of eviction or pressure on the IDPs to empty the CC or SS ?	0	Yes	0	No (Go to	4.1)							I	1				-				
4	(If risk of eviction) Have the IDPs received a notice of eviction? Assistance and access to services	0	Yes, written not	ice of ev	iction			0	Yes, oral	notice	of evictio	on	0	No notice	of eviction							
4			Distribution by a	authoriti	es			UN / NGO f	ood distri	butions	;				IDPs using	personal	cash /		grown			
4.1	How is food obtained ? (Tick all that apply)		Bartered by IDP	for othe	r goods	;		Donation fr	om host o	commu	nity			savings Private se	ctor charity				No foo	od		
4.2	(If food distribution, donation or charity) When food was distributed last time:	0	<=1 month	0	>1 mo	nth and <=3 n	months	0	>3 mont	hs ago	0	Do not kno	ow .	1								
4.2	(If food distribution, donation or charity) List of the Organisations who assisted this site with food																					
4.3	Do the majority of site residents eat three meals a day ?	\bigcirc	Yes	\bigcirc	No															_		
4.4	What do households do to cope when there is a food shortage?		Borrow food or from friends or i				Reduce per day	number of i	meals			i less ive or less ed foods		Going to I work outs			Nothing			Other (please		
	(Tick the most three frequent)		Skip meals for se consecutive day					exchange pe for food	rsonal			ousehold ers to beg		Purchase credit	food on		KI doesn'	t know		specify)		
	Has Emergency Shelter material been distributed on this site ? (Tarpaulin, wooden pools, nails, etc.)	\bigcirc	Yes	\bigcirc	No																	
4.5	If yes, when Emergency Shelter was distributed last time?	0	<=1 month	0	>1 mo	nth and <=6 n	months	0	>6 mont	hs ago	0	Do not kno	w									
	If yes List of the Organisations who distributed shelter materials in this site			-							-											
	How are the NFIs and WASH items (blanket, mattress, plastic bucket, soap) obtained ?		Distribution by a	authoriti	es			UN / NGO M	NFI distrib	utions				Bought by savings	IDPs using	personal o	ash /	Private sector c				
	(Tick all that apply)		Bartered by IDP	for othe	r goods	;		Donation fr	om host o	commu	nity			Other (Ple								
4.6	(If NFI distribution, donation or charity) When NFI and WASH items were distributed last time?	\bigcirc	<=1 month	\bigcirc	>1 mo	nth and <=6 n	months	0	>6 mont	hs ago	0	Do not kno	w									
	(If NFI distribution, donation or charity) List of the Organisations who distributed NFI and WASH items in this site																					
4.7	What NFI and WASH items are the most needed in this site?		Blanket				Water b	ucket/Jerry ca	an			Soap					Plastic she	et / tarpaulin				
	(choose maximum of two)		Matress				Kitchen	utensils				Other (Pleas	se specify)			None					
4.8	Which of the following services are available within the community / Settlement?		Food Market				Civic do	ocumentatio	n			Primary Sc	hool				Petroleu					
	(Tick all that apply)		Health Services				Matern	al/Child Serv	vices			Nutrition s	ervices				Electricit	Y				
4.9	Which of the following services are available within 60 minutes (there and back) travel on foot?		Food Market				Civic do	ocumentatio	n			Primary Sc	hool				Petroleu Electricit					
-	(Tick all that apply)		Health Services				Matern	al/Child Serv	vices			Nutrition s	ervices				Licenteit					
5 5.1	Water and sanitation Which of the following statement describes best the access to water for the assessed community/site? (Please select one answer)	0	Everyone/nearly everyone has er water for their r (little to no prob	nough needs	0	Everyone/ne problems acc water for the problem)	cessing e	enough	0	afford water	nly people who can ford it have enough ater (affordability roblem)				is enough water for but not for IDPs (status em)		0	somet easy, s	The situation varies a lot: sometimes water access is easy, sometimes it is difficult (intermittent access problems)			
	What are the main and secondary water sources used for drinking and household purposes by members of		Piped water into	o dwellin	g / com	pound	П	Piped wate	r connect	ed to a	public ta	ıp		llegal con network	nection to pip	oed		Collect		ectly from		
5.2	the community/site? (Please put number 1 to most commonly used source		Protected dug w	ing		П	Unprotecte	ed well / s	pring					rain water h	arvesting		Unpro		ain water k			
	by majority, and a x next to all other secondary sources)		Water trucking					Bottled wat	er					lease specify)								
5.3	Which statement would best describe this site with regards to access to functioning bathing facilities? (Please select one answer)	0	Everyone has access to a bathing facility (100%)	0		prity of the po access to a bat			0		ccess to	population d a bathing fac		0	The majority does not ha bathing facil	ve access	to a	0		dy has access to a ng facility (0%)		
5.3.1	Total number of showers in the site (Please ask KI, and confirm by observation. Put zero if no toilet and leave blank if not possible to calculate or not applicable)		# of mixed showers		# of sh	lowers for wo	men		# of shov	wers for	men			•								
5.4	Which statement would best describe the site with regards to access to functioning latrine? Please select 1.	0	Everyone has access to a toilet (100%)	0		A minority of the populatio have access to a toilet (±15			0			population d a toilet (±509				ty of the population ave access to a toile		0	Noboo toilet	ly has access to a (0%)		
5.4.1	Total number of toilets in the site. (Please ask KI, and confirm by observation. Put zero if no toilet and leave blank if not possible to calculate or not applicable)		# of mixed toilets		# of to	ilets for wom	en		# of toilets for men													
5.5	(Enumerator to answer) Which statement would best describe the community/site you are assessing with regards to garbage management? (Select one)	0	Most areas of th (without garbag				0		as of the community/site have a fev bage in the street				0	communit	eas of the cy/site have n rbage everyv		0	 Some areas are clean, some areas have piles of garbage laying around. 				
5.6	(Enumerator to answer) Which statement would best describe the community/site you are assessing with regards to sewage/wasterwater management? (Select one)	0	 Most areas of have issues with wastewater) 				0	2. Most are issues with wastewater	sewage o				0	3. Most an have cons problems constantly	0	4. Some areas do not have wastewater problems (never), while other areas do (sometimes or always)						
													•									



6	Education																		
6.1	Proportion of boys under 15 years old getting primary education ? (Please select one answer)	\bigcirc	All/ Nearly al	l boys	0	Majority of	boys		\bigcirc	Half	0	Few		0	None / Nearly none	\circ	Do not know		
6.2	Proportion of girls under 15 years old getting primary education ? (Please select one answer)	\bigcirc	All/ Nearly al	l boys	0	Majority of	boys	Half		Few	0		None / Nearly none	0	Do not know				
7	Health																		
	Who is the primary health care provider for IDP in this	\bigcirc	Privately (IDF	Ps are paying	g)		\bigcirc	NGO / UN				0	Specific cu	ulturally affili	ated group	\bigcirc	None		
7.1	CC or SS ? (Please select one answer)	0	Government				0			anised by medica community)	l persons	0	Other (ple	ase specify)					
	Who is the primary health care provider for pregnant	0	Privately				0	NGO / UN				0	Governme	ent	0	None			
7.2	and / or lactating women ? (Please select one answer)	Õ	Volunteer ba	isis (organise	ed by I	DP or host co	mmunity	()				0	Other (ple	ase specify)					
7.3	Has there been an outbreak of diahhroea?	\bigcirc	Yes	0	No														
7.4	Is there a doctor/nurse on site or who visit the site?	\bigcirc	Yes	0	No No														
8	Livelihoods																		
		Before	the displacem	nent (Tick m	naximu	um of three)						After th	e displacer	nent (Tick n	naximum of three)				
			Factory work	er				Farmer					Factory w	orker			Farmer		
			Civil servant (teacher, pos	stal services,	etc.)			Beekeeping	3				Civil serva	nt (teacher,	postal services, etc.)		Beekeeping		
8.1	What are the primary income generating activities of the majority of the IDPs before the displacement and		Livestock hol	lders				Business ov (retailing, r		t, etc.)			Livestock	holders			Business owners (retailing, restaurant, etc.)		
0.1	now ? (Tick maximum of three for each situation)		Daily worker (construction, etc.)					Cash for wo	ork				Daily work	ker (construc	tion, etc.)		Cash for work		
			Fishermen					Employee					Fishermer	n			Employee		
			Domestic Labor(cooking etc)					Begging					Domestic Labor(cooking etc)				Begging		
			Child labor					Other					Child labo	r			Other		
	What other means of financial ressources are		Selling assets					Loans				Own savir	igs			Support from friends / relatives			
8.2	commonly used ?		Charitable Donations from host community / private sector					No other m	support			Cash assis	tance from h	unity					
	(Tick maximum of three)		Unknown				П	Other (plea	se specif	y)									
9	Communication																		
		Television						0	Word o	f Mouth	0	Commu	nity leaders	5	0	Mobile Phones (sms)			
9.1	What is the main source of information?	0	Print materia	al (banners /	poste	rs / pamphlet	s)	0	Internet	t (news websites)	0	Radio				0	Newspapers		
		0	Social media	(facebook, t	witter	, etc.)		0	Local Au	uthorities	0	Other							
10	Contact Info																		
			Yes	No		r		1					Male	Female		-			
	(Enumerator to discern) Are the key informants / or	1st	0	\bigcirc		Full name							\bigcirc	\bigcirc	Mobile Number				
	focal points formally representing the site residents, in	2nd	0	0		Full name							\bigcirc	0	Mobile Number				
10.10	other words are they the elected representatives of the IDPs? Give the Fullname and the mobile number of	3rd	0	0		Full name							\bigcirc	0	Mobile Number				
	the KI/focal points in order.	4th	0	0		Full name							0	0	Mobile Number				
		5th	0	0		Full name							\bigcirc	\bigcirc	Mobile Number				
11	Pictures																		
11.1	Enumerator to take pictures if possible									_			_						
12	Additional Comment						_		_			_				_			
(Enumer	ator can insert comments here on any topic he or she thinks wi	ll be use	ful to programm	ne response ir	n this si	ite)													

